

Serial No. 09/576,946

### REMARKS

#### Claim Status

Upon entry of this amendment, claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 10, 27 and 31 have been amended; claims 4, 6, 29 and 30 have been canceled; claims 1-3, 5, 7-14, 16, 27 and 31 remaining pending. It is submitted that no new subject matter has been introduced by the amendments and that the amendments are fully supported by the specification. It should be further understood that the amendments herein have been made to clarify claim language and not to limit or narrow the scope of the claims, and thus, they should not be interpreted as narrowing claim amendments.

#### Interview Summary

Applicant, Alexandre Dayon, and his legal representative, Horace Ng, had an interview with Examiner Le and her supervisor, Andrew Caldwell, on Friday February 17, 2006. Applicant would like to expressly thank the Examiner and her supervisor for taking out the time to conduct the interview. During the interview, claims 1, 4-5 and 29-31 and the cited art of record were discussed. It was agreed that the Applicant would be allowed to submit a supplemental amendment by end of business on Wednesday, February 22, 2006.

#### December 13, 2005 Amendment

It should be understood that this amendment is submitted to supplement the amendment filed on December 13, 2005.

#### 35 USC 103 Rejection

As noted in the December 13, 2005 Amendment, claims 1-4, 6-10, 11-13, 16 and 27 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zellweger (US Pat. No. 6,379,222) in view of Wical (US Pat. No. 6,460,034). For at least the reasons set forth below, Applicant further traverses the foregoing rejection and respectfully submits that claims 1-3, 7-13, 16 and 27 are patentable over the cited art.

With respect to claim 1, this claim has been amended as noted above. Zellweger in combination with Wical does not collectively disclose or render obvious at least one or more of the features as recited in this claim. For example, Wical does not disclose or suggest forwarding to a user computer only sections of a web page selected by a user that meet the values for the chosen dimensions. As best understood by Applicant, the cited excerpt, Col. 23, lines 1-15, only provides

**Serial No. 09/576,946**

an explanation of FIG. 9C, which explains how query terms are mapped to various categories. The various groups refer to different themes and not portions of a document. For example, Col. 23, lines 7-11, state that "for group IA, the themes: beer, knockwurst, Oktoberfest, stein and sauerkraut, all appear under the categories "customs and practices", "drinking and dining", and "Germany". The groups referred to in the cited excerpt are not the same as portions of a web page that meet the values for the chosen dimensions. In addition, FIG. 11a merely displays a list of found documents and categories. There is no disclosure or suggestion indicating that section(s) of a single web page selected by a user that meet the values of the chosen dimensions are forwarded to a user computer. Identifying entire documents based on selected categories is not the same as identifying section(s) of a single document selected by a user that meet the values of certain chosen dimensions. Furthermore, FIG. 10C merely displays a list of documents with their abstracts. Display of the abstracts themselves are not controlled by any chosen dimensions. That is, once the entire document is identified as having satisfied a search query, the abstract is displayed regardless of any chosen dimensions. There is no disclosure or suggestion indicating that once a document is selected by the user from a document list, only section(s) of that selected document are returned to the user based on chosen dimensions.

In contrast, the claimed invention as recited in amended claim 1 allows section(s) of a single document to be searched and retrieved based on values of chosen dimensions provided by the user. As a result, different portion(s) of the same document may be retrieved based on different values of chosen dimensions.

Moreover, neither Zellweger nor Wical discloses or suggests the features of allowing a user to select a filtering methodology for each dimension and then performing a search using corresponding filtering methodologies for various dimensions.

Furthermore, neither Zellweger nor Wical discloses or suggests the features of allowing a document creator to create a document having a number of sections and then assign a value for at least one dimension to each section of the document, wherein the user is given access to the sections of the document based on the values assigned to the corresponding dimensions by the document creator.

Hence, combining Wical and Zellweger would not have resulted in the present invention as recited in claim 1. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 is patentable over the cited art.

**Serial No. 09/576,946**

Claims 2-3 and 5 depend, either directly or indirectly, from claim 1, and hence at least derive their respective patentability therefrom.

With respect to claims 7 and 8, the same arguments and rationale as set forth above in connection with claim 1 apply with equal force. Hence, claims 7 and 8 are also patentable over the cited art.

With respect to claim 9, this claim depends from claim 8 and thus at least derives its patentability therefrom. Therefore, claim 9 is also patentable over the cited art.

With respect to claim 10, the same arguments and rationale as set forth above in connection with claim 1 apply with equal force. Hence, claim 10 is also patentable over the cited art.

With respect to claims 11-14 and 16, these claims depend from claim 10 and thus at least derive their respective patentability therefrom. Therefore, claims 11-14 and 16 are also patentable over the cited art.

With respect to claim 27, Wical does not disclose or suggest maintaining a user coordinate associated with the user or identifying a section of the document to the user only if the section of the document is associated with the user coordinate. The cited excerpt, Col. 25, lines 20-35, merely shows a list of found documents based on selected categories. Hence, claim 27 is also patentable over the cited art.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zellweger in view of Wical as applied to claim 4 and further in view of Mills (US Pat. No. 6,466,940). Since claim 5 now depends from claim 1, this claim at least derives its patentability therefrom. Hence, claim 5 is also patentable over the cited art.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zellweger in view of Wical as applied to claim 14 and further in view of Merriman et al. (US Pat. No. 5,948,061). Claim 14 depends from claim 10 and thus at least derives its patentability therefrom. Therefore, claim 14 is also patentable over the cited art.

Claim 29-31 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zellweger in view of Wical as applied to claim 1 and further in view of Chakrabarti (US Pat. No. 6,356,899). Claims 29-30 have been canceled. Claim 31 depends from claim 1 and thus at least derives its patentability therefrom. Therefore, claim 31 is also patentable over the cited art.

Serial No. 09/576,946

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, Applicant believes all claims now pending in this application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at the telephone number provided below.

No fee is required for this amendment, if it is determined that a fee is due in connection with this paper, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 502203, including any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for presentation of extra claims and any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP



Horace H. Ng  
Registration No. 39,315

18191 Von Karman Ave., Suite 400  
Irvine, CA 92612-7107  
Telephone: 949.851.0633  
Facsimile: 949.851.9348  
HN:al  
**Date: February 22, 2006**  
MPK 104512-1.072237.0012